

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appl. No. : 10/047,660 Confirmation No. 6615  
Applicant : Louisa Shaefer  
Filed : January 15, 2002  
TC/A.U. : 2875  
Examiner : Bao Q. Truong  
Docket No. :  
Customer No. : 64004

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**STATEMENT TO SUPPORT PETITION TO REVIVE UNINTENTIONALLY  
ABANDONED APPLICATION**

The list of dates attached hereto at Appendix A are relevant as they set for the key dates in the life of the referenced patent application. These dates will be used in a 37 C. F. R. 1.132 Declaration from the Applicant regarding her efforts to assure the continued pendency of her Continuing Non-Provisional U.S. Patent Application originally filed on January 15, 2002.

From a review of the information which appears in *italics* in the attached Declaration from Ms. Louisa Shaefer, the two counsel who were retained to assist her in obtaining patent protection, Mr. Ken Hill ( now disbarred) and Mr. Bob Mason did not keep her informed of the status of her pending application . Accordingly, despite the continuing efforts of Ms. Shaefer to obtain patent protection for her invention, it was not

until earlier this year that she learned how those who she trusted to represent her before the USPTO had not done their jobs and, in fact, misrepresented her.

The problems with the legal representation provided to Ms. Shaefer appear to begin in early 2002 when Mr. Ken Hill mis-represents the work being done as a Continuation - In - Part Non - Provisional U.S. Patent Application when , in fact, it was a Continuing Non-Provisional U.S. Patent Application.

Things continued their downhill slide later in 2002 as the first Office Action on Ms. Shaefer's pending patent application was allowed to go abandoned by Mr. Hill. Then, for reasons unknown, Mr. Hill attempts to remedy the situation at the end of 2002 by submitting a Petition to Revive. But, once again Mr. Hill in 2003 allows Ms. Shaefer's pending patent application to go abandoned by failing to respond to an Office Action.

In late 2003, Mr. Hill once again tries to fix the problems but only succeeds in making matters worse by filing a Petition to Revive bearing the wrong serial number.

It was not until a year and a half later, in mid-2005, that Mr. Hill realized that something might be amiss concerning the earlier filed Petition to Revive . Accordingly, Mr. Hill makes another attempt to revive Ms. Shaefer's abandoned patent application.

By early 2006, Ms. Shaefer took matters into her own hands and moved the responsibility for her patent application from Mr. Ken Hill to Mr. Bob Mason.

Unfortunately, Ms Shaefer's trust in Mr. Bob Mason was ill placed as Mr. Mason first took any type of positive action nearly three years later when he attempted to revive the patent application which had now been abandoned for the third time.

As indicated in the attached Declaration Ms. Shaefer has been the victim of at multiple mis-representations by those she trusted to represent her. It was not until this

year that Ms. Shaefer learned the true story of what actually happened, or better said -  
- didn't happen, to her patent application.

Based on the foregoing, it is now the request of Ms. Shaefer to revive the  
referenced abandoned patent application and submit a proper response to the Office  
Action of March 5, 2003. (Enclosed)

Respectfully submitted,

STRASBURGER & PRICE

By: 

**Alan R. Thiele**

Reg. No. 30,694

Tel.: (210) 250-6014

Fax.: (210) 258-2717

e-mail: [alan.thiele@strasburger.com](mailto:alan.thiele@strasburger.com)

**December 31, 1997**

Provisional U.S. Patent Application No. 60/070,248 filed by Mr. Ken Hill

**December 30, 1998**

Non-Provisional U.S. Patent Application No. 09/222,901 filed by Mr. Ken Hill

**August 14, 2001**

Notice of Allowance/Issue Fee Due mailed to Mr. Ken Hill by USPTO

**November 14, 2001**

Issue Fee paid by Mr. Ken Hill

**January 11, 2002**

Drawing corrections submitted by Mr. Ken Hill

**January 15, 2002**

Continuing Non-Provisional U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 filed by Mr. Ken Hill

**March 8, 2002**

Preliminary Amendment to Continuing Non-Provisional U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 filed by Mr. Ken Hill

**May 21, 2002**

U.S. Patent No. 6,390,647 issues from Application Serial No. 09/222,901

**May 31, 2002**

First Office Action on U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 mailed to Mr. Ken Hill

**November 30, 2002**

Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 goes abandoned for failure to respond to May 31, 2002 Office Action

**December 11, 2002**

Petition to Revive Abandoned Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 submitted along with Response to Office Action of May 31, 2002 submitted by Mr. Ken Hill

**March 5, 2003**

Second Office Action on Revived Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 sent to Mr. Ken Hill

**September 5, 2003**

Second abandonment of Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 for failure to respond to Office Action of March 5, 2003 by Mr. Ken Hill

**December 9, 2003**

Petition to Revive Abandoned Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 filed by Mr. Ken Hill using an improper Serial Number

**June 29, 2005**

Second Petition to Revive submitted along with response to March 5, 2003 Office Action by Mr. Ken Hill

**August 22, 2005**

Second Petition to Revive granted

**August 30, 2005**

Notice of Incomplete Response sent to Mr. Ken Hill

**February 16, 2006**

Mr. Bob Mason submits Power of Attorney for Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 to USPTO

**March 10, 2006**

USPTO accepts the Power of Attorney from Mr. Bob Mason

**August 21, 2006**

USPTO provides third Notice of Abandonment of Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 to Mr. Bob Mason

**October 22, 2009**

Mr. Bob Mason submits Petition to Revive Continuing U.S. Patent Application 047,600 with no justification and no response to Office Action of March 5, 2003

**January 4, 2010**

USPTO denies Petition to Revive Continuing U.S. Patent Application No. 10/047,600 submitted by Mr. Bob Mason

**March 4, 2010**

Two month period for requesting reconsideration of the denied Petition to Revive Continuing U.S. Patent Application expires.

**April 8, 2010**

I retain Mr. Alan Thiele to look into the status of my patent application